UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,054	,054 11/25/2003 Sakari Poussa		60279-00069	4194
	7590 07/09/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS	CRESCENT DRIVE	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E		
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 2	22182-6212	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2139	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Office Action Summany		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/720,054		POUSSA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Matthew He		2139				
<i>The M</i> Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this commul	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Respoi	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>26 Ma</i>	rch 2008						
·= ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 March 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
´ =		<i>7</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election red	quirement.					
Application Pap	ers								
9)∏ The spe	ecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Notice of Draft Information Discrete	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date			I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 8) Other:	ate				

10/720,054 Art Unit: 2139

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 14-20, submitted on 15 February 2008, were not addressed in the office action mailed 6 June 2008. Due to that oversight, claims 1-20 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 8, 10-16, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,760,444 to Leung et al.

As per claims 1, 10, 13, 14, 16, and 18, Leung discloses an interaction between a Home Agent (the application device comprising management clients) that is connected to a server (the service device) via a communications network (see column 6, lines 24-26) and one or more wireless clients. The Home Agent may contact the server with a request for services such as creating (see column 2, line 58 to column 3, line 16) and managing security associations or authentication services (handled by the

server's internet protocol security services) and receive in return a security association (see column 7, lines 16-32). The response is sent by the server to the home agent (see column 7, lines 33-50). Since the security associations may comprise keys (see column 7, line 67), this uses a session key management protocol.

As per claims 2, 11, and 19, the Home Agents network interface (for communicating with the communications network, see column 9,line 53 to column 10, line 6) provides communication between the management clients and the server.

As per claims 3, 12, 15, and 20, security associations must be copied from the server to the Home Agent in order to create and manage or facilitate modifications in security associations.

As per claims 4 and 5, the server may use IP, for which all communications inherently use sockets at both ends of a communication and data structures for the packet formats (see column 6, lines 26-28 and column 8, lines 37-39).

As per claim 8, each client's security associations and communications may use a different keying algorithm (see figure 4, item 412).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2139

3. Claims 6, 7, 9, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,760,444 to Leung et al.

Regarding claim 6, Leung does not discuss the architecture of the software in the system that is employed to use the communications interface.

Official notice is given that it is well-known in the art to package the related functions for using a device on a computer in a DLL.

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the network interface functions as a DLL.

Regarding claims 7, 9, and 17, Leung does not disclose the structure of the network connecting the Home Agents to the servers.

Official notice is given that it is well-known in the art to implement computer connections using a local network.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Leung's invention using a local network.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Heneghan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

Art Unit: 2139

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached at (571) 272-4063.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-3800

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Matthew Heneghan/

Primary Patent Examiner, USPTO AU 2139

July 8, 2008